

9-15-2021

Weighted (m, δ) -green functions in C^n

Nurbek Narzillaev

National University of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan, n.narzillaev@nuu.uz

Follow this and additional works at: <https://bulletin.nuu.uz/journal>



Part of the [Analysis Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Narzillaev, Nurbek (2021) "Weighted (m, δ) -green functions in C^n ," *Bulletin of National University of Uzbekistan: Mathematics and Natural Sciences*: Vol. 4: Iss. 3, Article 4.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.56017/2181-1318.1198>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Bulletin of National University of Uzbekistan: Mathematics and Natural Sciences. It has been accepted for inclusion in Bulletin of National University of Uzbekistan: Mathematics and Natural Sciences by an authorized editor of Bulletin of National University of Uzbekistan: Mathematics and Natural Sciences. For more information, please contact karimovja@mail.ru.

WEIGHTED (m, δ) -GREEN FUNCTIONS IN \mathbb{C}^n

NARZILLAEV N.

National University of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

e-mail: n.narzillaev@nuu.uz

Abstract

In this work some extremal function and its properties are studied for the class of m -subharmonic functions. We study weighted (m, δ) -Green function $V_m^*(z, K, \psi, \delta)$, defined by the class

$$\mathcal{L}_m^\delta = \{u(z) \in sh_m(\mathbb{C}^n) : u(z) \leq \delta, z \in \mathbb{C}^n\}, \delta > 0.$$

We see that the regularity of the points with respect to different numbers δ differ from each other. Nevertheless, we will prove that if the compact $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is (m, δ, ψ) -regular, then weighted (m, δ) -Green function is continuous in the whole space \mathbb{C}^n .

Keywords: m -Green function, weighted m -Green function, weighted (m, δ) -Green function, m -regular compact.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 31B05, 31B15, 31C10, 31C05.

Introduction

For a twice continuously differentiable function $u \in C^2(D)$, where $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$ the elementary complex Hessian operator is defined by

$$H_m(u) = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_m \leq n} \lambda_{j_1} \dots \lambda_{j_m},$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of the complex Hessian $\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k}\right)$. Using the operators $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$ and $d^c = \frac{i}{2}(\partial - \bar{\partial})$, so that $dd^c = \frac{i}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}$, one gets

$$(dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} = m!(n-m)!H_m(u)\beta^n$$

where $\beta = dd^c|z|^2$ standard volume form in \mathbb{C}^n . Consider a set

$$\Gamma_m = \{H_1(\lambda) \geq 0, \dots, H_m(\lambda) \geq 0\}.$$

Let $\alpha = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j,k} a_{j\bar{k}} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k$ be a real differential form of bidegree $(1, 1)$, where is $(a_{j\bar{k}})$ is a Hermitian matrix. If $\lambda(\alpha) = (\lambda_1(\alpha), \dots, \lambda_n(\alpha))$ are the eigenvalues of this matrix, then the Hessian $H_m(\alpha) = H_m(\lambda(\alpha))$ is defined. This relation between Hermitian $(1, 1)$ -forms and vectors $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$ allows us to define

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Gamma}_m &= \{\alpha : \lambda(\alpha) \in \Gamma_m\} = \{H_m(\alpha + t_1 dd^c|z_1|^2 + \dots + t_n dd^c|z_n|^2) \geq 0 \forall t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n\} = \\ &= \{\alpha \wedge \beta^{n-1} \geq 0, \dots, \alpha^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 0.1. A twice continuously differentiable function $u \in C^2(D)$, where $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, is said to be m -subharmonic (briefly, m -sh) at a point $z^0 \in D$ if the eigenvalues $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ of the matrix $\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} \right) \Big|_{z=z^0}$ belong to Γ_m or, which is the same, $dd^c u \in \hat{\Gamma}_m$ ($1 \leq m \leq n$). A function $u \in C^2(D)$ is called an m -sh function in D if it is an m -sh function at every point $z^0 \in D$.

In other words, a function $u \in C^2(D)$ is called an m -sh function if $dd^c u \in \hat{\Gamma}_m$, which is equivalent to the condition

$$(dd^c u)^k \wedge \beta^{n-k} \geq 0 \quad \forall k = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Definition 0.2. A function $u \in L^1_{loc}(D)$ is said to be m -subharmonic (m -sh) in a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ if it is upper semicontinuous and, for any twice continuously differentiable m -sh functions v_1, \dots, v_{m-1} the current $dd^c u \wedge dd^c v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c v_{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m}$ defined as

$$[dd^c u \wedge dd^c v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c v_{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m}] (\omega) = \int_{\omega \in F^{0,0}} u dd^c v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c v_{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m} \wedge dd^c \omega$$

is positive.

The set of m -sh functions in D is denoted by $sh_m(D)$. Note that for $m = 1$ the class sh_m coincides with the class of subharmonic (sh) functions, and for $m = n$ it coincides with the class of plurisubharmonic (psh) functions. See details [1, 2, 3, 4].

1 Weighted m -Green function in \mathbb{C}^n

Let $\psi(z)$ be a bounded function on the compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, $\psi(z) < 1$. Consider the class of functions

$$\mathcal{L}_m(K, \psi) := \{u(z) \in \mathcal{L}_m, u(z)|_K \leq \psi(z)\},$$

where $\mathcal{L}_m = \{u \in sh_m(\mathbb{C}^n) : u \leq 1\}$. If $m = n$, then $sh_m = psh$ and the class \mathcal{L}_m is trivial, $\mathcal{L}_m = \{const\}$. However, in the class of the psh functions the weighted psh -Green functions we studied in our previous articles (see [10, 11]), using the Lelong class. Therefore, we can assume $1 \leq m < n$. Then \mathcal{L}_m is wide class and we put

$$V_m(z, K, \psi) := \sup\{u(z) : u(z) \in \mathcal{L}_m(K, \psi)\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

The regularization $V_m^*(z, K, \psi) = \overline{\lim}_{w \rightarrow z} V_m(w, K, \psi)$ is said to be the weighted m -Green function of K with respect to $\psi(z)$.

Note that in the case $\psi(z) \equiv 0$, the function $V_m^*(z, K, \psi)$ coincides with the m -Green function $V_m^*(z, K)$, i.e., $V_m^*(z, K, 0) \equiv V_m^*(z, K)$. The Green functions $V_m^*(z, K)$ where studied in the article of Abdullaev, Sharipov [13].

It is not difficult to prove the following simple properties of the weighted m -Green function:

1°. $V_m^*(z, K, \psi) \in \mathcal{L}_m$

2°. If $K_1 \subset K_2$, then $V_m^*(z, K_1, \psi) \geq V_m^*(z, K_2, \psi)$.

3°. If $\psi_1 \leq \psi_2 \forall z \in K$, then $V_m^*(z, K, \psi_1) \leq V_m^*(z, K, \psi_2)$.

Lemma 1.1. For any compact set K , the following inequalities hold:

$$\inf_{z \in K} \psi(z) + (1 - \inf_{z \in K} \psi(z)) \cdot V_m^*(z, K) \leq V_m^*(z, K, \psi) \leq \sup_{z \in K} \psi(z) + (1 - \sup_{z \in K} \psi(z)) \cdot V_m^*(z, K). \quad (1)$$

Proof. Indeed, if $u \in \mathcal{L}_m(K, \psi)$ i.e., $u \in \mathcal{L}_m$, $u|_K \leq \psi$, then $\frac{u(z) - \sup_{z \in K} \psi(z)}{1 - \sup_{z \in K} \psi(z)} \in$

$\mathcal{L}_m(K)$. Here we assume that $\sup_{z \in K} \psi(z) < 1$. Consequently,

$$\frac{u(z) - \sup_{z \in K} \psi(z)}{1 - \sup_{z \in K} \psi(z)} \leq V_m^*(z, K) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

and

$$\frac{V_m^*(z, K, \psi) - \sup_{z \in K} \psi(z)}{1 - \sup_{z \in K} \psi(z)} \leq V_m^*(z, K) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

From here we obtain

$$V_m^*(z, K, \psi) \leq (1 - \sup_{z \in K} \psi(z)) \cdot V_m^*(z, K) + \sup_{z \in K} \psi(z) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

On the other side, if $u \in \mathcal{L}_m(K)$, then $(1 - \inf_{z \in K} \psi(z))u(z) + \inf_{z \in K} \psi(z) \in \mathcal{L}_m(K, \psi)$.

Hence,

$$\inf_{z \in K} \psi(z) + (1 - \inf_{z \in K} \psi(z)) \cdot V_m^*(z, K) \leq V_m^*(z, K, \psi) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

so (1) is hold. □

Corollary 1.1. $V_m^*(z, K, \psi) \equiv 1$ if and only if $V_m^*(z, K) \equiv 1$.

From the fact, that $V_m^*(z, K) \equiv 1$ if and only if K is an m -polar set [13], it follows $V_m^*(z, K, \psi) \equiv 1$ if and only if K is m -polar set.

If a function $\psi(z)$ extends to the space \mathbb{C}^n as a function from the class \mathcal{L}_m i.e. if there is a function

$$\Psi \in \mathcal{L}_m : \Psi|_K \equiv \psi, \quad (2)$$

then it is obvious $V_m(z, K, \psi) \geq \Psi(z)$ and

$$V_m(z, K, \psi) \equiv \psi(z), \quad z \in K \quad (3)$$

Below we assume that the m -Green function satisfies the condition (3).

Definition 1.1. We say that a compact K is globally (m, ψ) -regular at z^0 if $V_m^*(z^0, K, \psi) = \psi(z^0)$. We say that a compact K is locally (m, ψ) -regular at z^0 if $V_m^*(z^0, K \cap \overline{B}(z^0, r), \psi) = \psi(z^0)$ for every ball $B(z^0, r), r > 0$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\psi(z)$ is continuous function on K . If K is globally (m, ψ) -regular i.e. if K is globally (m, ψ) -regular at arbitrary point $z^0 \in K$, then $V_m^*(z, K, \psi) = V_m(z, K, \psi)$ and $V_m^*(z, K, \psi)$ continuous in \mathbb{C}^n .

Proof. Since $\psi(z)$ is a continuous function on K , $\psi(z)$ can be extended continuously throughout K , i.e., there is a function $\Psi(z) \in C(\mathbb{C}^n)$ such that $\Psi(z)|_K = \psi(z)$ (see Whitney H. [12]). We use the standard approximation $u_j \downarrow V_m^*(z, K, \psi)$, where $u_j \in \mathcal{L}_m \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Since $V_m^*(z, K, \psi) \equiv \Psi(z), z \in K$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an open set $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n, V_m^*(z, K, \psi) < \Psi(z) + \varepsilon\}$ contained K . Therefore, by the Hartogs lemma, there exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$u_j(z) < \Psi(z) + 2\varepsilon = \psi(z) + 2\varepsilon, \forall z \in K, j > j_0.$$

From here, $u_j - 2\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}_m(\psi, K)$ and

$$u_j - 2\varepsilon \leq V_m(z, K, \psi) \leq V_m^*(z, K, \psi) \leq u_j, j > j_0, z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

This means that the sequence u_j converges to $V_m^*(z, K, \psi)$ uniformly and $V_m^*(z, K, \psi) = V_m(z, K, \psi) \in C(\mathbb{C}^n)$. \square

Theorem 1.2. Let K be a compact set, and $\psi(z)$ is weight on $K : \psi(z) \in C(K)$. Then K is locally (m, ψ) -regular at $z^0 \in K$ if and only if K is locally m -regular (case $\psi \equiv 0$) at z^0 .

Proof. Indeed, we use the inequality (1). If the point $z^0 \in K$ is not locally m -regular, i.e., if $V_m^*(z^0, K \cap \overline{B}) = \sigma > 0$ for some neighborhood $B : z^0 \in B \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, then $V_m^*(z^0, K \cap \overline{B}_1) \geq \sigma$ for any $z^0 \in B_1 \subset B$. Therefore, by (1)

$$V_m^*(z^0, K \cap \overline{B}_1, \psi(z^0)) \geq (1 - \inf_{z \in K \cap \overline{B}_1} \psi(z)) \cdot V_m^*(z^0, K \cap \overline{B}_1) + \inf_{z \in K \cap \overline{B}_1} \psi(z)$$

and

$$V_m^*(z^0, K \cap \overline{B}_1, \psi(z^0)) \geq \sigma \cdot \left(1 - \inf_{z \in K \cap \overline{B}_1} \psi(z)\right) + \inf_{z \in K \cap \overline{B}_1} \psi(z) \quad (4)$$

Since $\psi(z)$ is continuous, then choosing the neighborhood B_1 small enough we can make the right part of (4) to be greater, than $\psi(z^0)$ i.e., $V_m^*(z, K \cap B_1, \psi) > \psi(z^0)$ and the point z^0 is not locally (m, ψ) -regular.

Reversing the roles of $V_m^*(z, K \cap \overline{B}_1, \psi)$ and $V_m^*(z, K \cap \overline{B}_1)$ from (1) we can prove the second part of the theorem: if the point $z^0 \in K$ is not locally (m, ψ) -regular, then it is not locally m -regular. \square

2 Weighted (m, δ) -Green function

We fix the number $\delta > 0$ and define an (m, δ) -Green function. Let K be a compact set and ψ some bounded function on K . We assume $\psi(z) \leq \delta$. Consider the class

$$\mathcal{L}_m^\delta := \{u(z) \in sh_m(\mathbb{C}^n) : u(z) \leq \delta, z \in \mathbb{C}^n\}.$$

It is clear that if $u(z) \in \mathcal{L}_m$, then $c \cdot u(z) \in \mathcal{L}_m^\delta \forall c \in (0, \delta]$. We put

$$\mathcal{L}_m^\delta(K, \psi) := \{u(z) \in \mathcal{L}_m^\delta, u(z)|_K \leq \psi(z)\}.$$

Definition 2.1. The function $V_m^*(z, K, \psi, \delta) = \overline{\lim}_{w \rightarrow z} V_m(w, K, \psi, \delta)$ is called the (m, δ) -Green function of the compact set K with respect to ψ , where

$$V_m(z, K, \psi, \delta) := \sup\{u(z) : u(z) \in \mathcal{L}_m^\delta(K, \psi)\}, z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Note that, for $\delta = 1$ the (m, δ) -Green function coincides with the weighted m -Green function of the compact set K i.e., $V_m^*(z, K, \psi, 1) \equiv V_m^*(z, K, \psi)$, for $\delta = 1, \psi(z) = 0$ coincides with the m -Green function, i.e. $V_m^*(z, K, 0, 1) \equiv V_m^*(z, K)$.

Let us list the properties of the (m, δ) -Green function:

1°. If $\delta_1 \leq \delta_2$, then $V_m^*(z, K, \psi, \delta_1) \leq V_m^*(z, K, \psi, \delta_2)$.

2°. If $\psi_1 \leq \psi_2 \forall z \in K$, then $V_m^*(z, K, \psi_1, \delta) \leq V_m^*(z, K, \psi_2, \delta)$.

3°. $V_m^*(z, K, \psi, \delta) = \delta \cdot V_m^*\left(z, K, \frac{\psi}{\delta}\right)$ in particular, $V_m^*(z, K, \delta) = \delta \cdot V_m^*(z, K)$.

Lemma 2.1. For any compact set K , the following inequalities hold:

$$\inf_{z \in K} \psi(z) + (\delta - \inf_{z \in K} \psi(z)) \cdot V_m^*(z, K) \leq V_m^*(z, K, \psi, \delta) \leq \sup_{z \in K} \psi(z) + (\delta - \sup_{z \in K} \psi(z)) \cdot V_m^*(z, K). \quad (5)$$

Proof. Really, if $u \in \mathcal{L}_m^\delta(K, \psi, \delta)$ i.e., $u \in \mathcal{L}_m^\delta, u|_K \leq \psi$, then $\frac{u(z) - \sup_{z \in K} \psi(z)}{\delta - \sup_{z \in K} \psi(z)} \in \mathcal{L}_m^\delta(K)$. Here we assume that $\sup_{z \in K} \psi(z) < \delta$. If $\sup_{z \in K} \psi(z) = \delta$, then the right side of the inequality (5) is also true. Consequently,

$$\frac{u(z) - \sup_{z \in K} \psi(z)}{\delta - \sup_{z \in K} \psi(z)} \leq V_m^*(z, K) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

and

$$\frac{V_m^*(z, K, \psi, \delta) - \sup_{z \in K} \psi(z)}{\delta - \sup_{z \in K} \psi(z)} \leq V_m^*(z, K) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

From here

$$V_m^*(z, K, \psi, \delta) \leq (\delta - \sup_{z \in K} \psi(z)) \cdot V_m^*(z, K) + \sup_{z \in K} \psi(z) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

On the other side, if $u \in \mathcal{L}_m^\delta(K)$, then $(\delta - \inf_{z \in K} \psi(z))u(z) + \inf_{z \in K} \psi(z) \in \mathcal{L}_m^\delta(K, \psi)$.

Hence,

$$\inf_{z \in K} \psi(z) + (\delta - \inf_{z \in K} \psi(z)) \cdot V_m^*(z, K) \leq V_m^*(z, K, \psi, \delta) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

The lemma is proved. □

Note that in the general case $V_m(z, K, \psi, \delta)$ and weight function ψ does not have to be exactly equal on K for all δ . In other words, condition (3) may not be satisfied.

Example 2.1. Let $K = \overline{B(0, 1)} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\psi(z) = |z|^2$. Then for $m = 1$ one can prove, that

$$V_1(z, K, \psi, \delta) = \begin{cases} |z|^2, & |z| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{2}}, \\ \delta - \frac{\delta^2}{4|z|^2}, & |z| > \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{2}}. \end{cases}$$

We see $V_1(z, K, \psi, \delta) = |z|^2, \forall z \in \left\{ |z| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{2}} \right\}$ and $V_m(z, K, \psi, \delta) < |z|^2, \forall z \in \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{2}} < |z| \leq 1 \right\}$.

We denote by $\Lambda = \Lambda(K, \psi)$ the set of numbers δ , for which the equality type (3) holds, i.e.

$$\Lambda = \Lambda(K, \psi) = \{ \delta > 0 : V_m(z, K, \psi, \delta)|_K \equiv \psi(z) \}.$$

For Example 1, $\Lambda = [2, +\infty)$. In fact,

$$V_1(z, K, \psi, 2) = \begin{cases} |z|^2, & |z| \leq 1, \\ 2 - \frac{1}{|z|^2}, & |z| > 1. \end{cases}$$

So that $V_1(z, K, \psi, 2)|_K \equiv \psi(z)$ and by property 1° of the section 2 $V_1(z, K, \psi, \delta) \geq V_1(z, K, \psi, 2)$ for all $\delta \in [2, +\infty)$. If $\delta \in (0, 2)$ then there is a point $z^0 \in K$ such that $V_1(z^0, K, \psi, \delta) < \psi(z^0)$, that is $(0, 2) \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$.

The sets Λ may be empty.

Example 2.2. Let $K = \{ |z| \leq 1 \} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\psi(z) = 1 - |z|^2$. By the maximum principle and by the property 3° we have

$$V_m(z, K, \psi, \delta) = V_m(z, K, \delta) = \delta V_m(z, K) = \delta \max \left\{ 0, \left(1 - \frac{1}{|z|^2} \right) \right\}.$$

Therefore, for any $\delta > 0, V_m(z, K, \psi, \delta) < \psi(z), \forall |z| < 1$. That is in this case $\Lambda = \emptyset$.

If $\psi(z) \equiv c$, where c is constant, then $V_m(z, K, c, \delta) = c + V_m(z, K, \delta) = c + \delta V_m(z, K)$. Since, the m -Green function $V_m(z, K) \geq 0$, then for any $\delta > 0$ and $z \in K$ the inequality $V_m(z, K, c, \delta) \geq c$ holds. This means $\Lambda = (0, +\infty)$.

Let $\Lambda \neq \emptyset$. Then from the 1° property we easily get $\delta_1 \in \Lambda$, for $\delta_1 > \delta$, if $\delta \in \Lambda$.

Proposition 2.1. *If $\delta_j \in \Lambda$, $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta_j \downarrow \delta_0 \neq 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, then $\delta_0 \in \Lambda$.*

◁ Indeed, by the condition of the proposition, we have $V_m(z, K, \psi, \delta_j) = \psi(z)$, $z \in K$. Using the properties 2° and 3°, we get

$$V_m(z, K, \psi, \delta_j) = \delta_j \cdot V_m\left(z, K, \frac{\psi}{\delta_j}\right) \leq \delta_j \cdot V_m\left(z, K, \frac{\psi}{\delta_0}\right).$$

Consequently, $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\psi(z) = V_m(z, K, \psi, \delta_j) \leq \delta_j \cdot V_m\left(z, K, \frac{\psi}{\delta_0}\right), \quad z \in K.$$

Tending j to infinity, we get

$$\psi(z) \leq \delta_0 \cdot V_m\left(z, K, \frac{\psi}{\delta_0}\right) = V_m(z, K, \psi, \delta_0), \quad z \in K,$$

i.e. $\psi(z) = \delta_0 \cdot V_m\left(z, K, \frac{\psi}{\delta_0}\right) = V_m(z, K, \psi, \delta_0)$, $z \in K$ and $\delta_0 \in \Lambda$. ▷

Proposition 2.1 follows, that if $\Lambda \neq \emptyset$ then both or $\Lambda = (0, \infty)$, or $\Lambda = [\delta_0, +\infty)$, $\delta_0 > 0$. Note that if $\delta \in \Lambda(K, \psi)$, then $V_m(z, K, \psi, \delta) = \psi(z)$, $z \in K$. Therefore, by the property of monotony $V_m(z, K \cap \overline{B}, \psi, \delta) = \psi(z)$, $z \in K \cap \overline{B}$, for any ball $B \cap K \neq \emptyset$. It follows, that if $\delta \in \Lambda(K, \psi)$, then $\delta \in \Lambda(K \cap B, \psi)$.

3 (m, δ) -regularity

3.1 Unweighted case

We define (m, δ) -regularity and (m, δ) -local regularity in a similar way as for usual m -regularity.

Definition 3.1. *A compact set K is called globally (m, δ) -regular at a point $z^0 \in K$ if $V_m^*(z^0, K, \delta) = 0$. It is called locally (m, δ) -regular at a point $z^0 \in K$ if $V_m^*(z^0, K \cap \overline{B}(z^0, r), \delta) = 0$ for any $r > 0$.*

The proposition below immediately follows from property 3 and shows that (m, δ) -regularity is the same as classical m -regularity, namely, $\delta = 1$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let K be a compact subset of \mathbb{C}^n .*

- (i) *A compact set K is globally (m, δ) -regular at a point $z^0 \in K$ if and only if it is globally m -regular at a point z^0 .*
- (ii) *A compact set K is locally (m, δ) -regular at a point $z^0 \in K$ if and only if it is locally m -regular at a point z^0 .*

3.2 Weighted case

Definition 3.2. Let $\delta \in \Lambda(\psi, K)$. The compact K is called globally (m, δ, ψ) -regular at point $z^0 \in K$ if $V_m^*(z^0, K, \psi, \delta) = \psi(z^0)$. It is called locally (m, δ, ψ) -regular at point $z^0 \in K$ if $V_m^*(z^0, K \cap \overline{B}(z^0, r), \psi, \delta) = \psi(z^0)$ for every nonempty ball $B(z^0, r)$. A compact K is globally (m, δ, ψ) -regular if it is globally (m, δ, ψ) -regular at every point of itself. A compact K is locally (m, δ, ψ) -regular if it is locally (m, δ, ψ) -regular at every point of itself.

Note that global or local (m, δ, ψ) -regularity can only be defined for $\delta \in \Lambda(\psi, K)$. It is easy to see that any locally (m, δ, ψ) -regular point is globally (m, δ, ψ) -regular. We denote by $\Lambda_{reg} = \Lambda_{reg}(K, \psi)$ the set of numbers $\delta \in \Lambda(K, \psi)$, for which K is globally regular, we denote by $\Lambda_{reg}^{loc} = \Lambda_{reg}^{loc}(K, \psi)$ the set of numbers $\delta \in \Lambda$, for which K is locally regular. We see, $\Lambda_{reg}^{loc} \subset \Lambda_{reg} \subset \Lambda$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Lambda(\psi, K)$ and $\delta_1 \leq \delta_2$. If a point z^0 is (m, δ_2, ψ) -regular, then it is (m, δ_1, ψ) -regular.

The prove follows from the property 1° sec. 2. For the continuous function ψ holds

Theorem 3.1. Let $\delta \in \Lambda(\psi, K)$ and the function $\psi(z)$ is to be continuous on K . Then a fixed point $z^0 \in K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is locally (m, δ, ψ) -regular if and only if it is locally m -regular.

Proof. Really, we use the inequality (5). If the point $z^0 \in K$ is not locally m -regular, i.e., if $V_m^*(z^0, K \cap \overline{B}) = \sigma > 0$ for some neighborhood $B : z^0 \in B \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, then $V_m^*(z^0, K \cap \overline{B}_1) \geq \sigma$ for any $z^0 \in B_1 \subset B$. Therefore, by (5)

$$V_m^*(z^0, K \cap \overline{B}_1, \psi(z^0), \delta) \geq (\delta - \inf_{z \in K \cap \overline{B}_1} \psi(z)) \cdot V_m^*(z^0, K \cap \overline{B}_1) + \inf_{z \in K \cap \overline{B}_1} \psi(z)$$

and

$$V_m^*(z^0, K \cap \overline{B}_1, \psi(z^0)) \geq \sigma \cdot \left(\delta - \inf_{z \in K \cap \overline{B}_1} \psi(z) \right) + \inf_{z \in K \cap \overline{B}_1} \psi(z) \quad (6)$$

Since $\psi(z)$ is continuous, then choosing the neighborhood B_1 small enough we can make the right part of (6) to be greater, than $\psi(z^0)$ i.e., $V_m^*(z, K \cap \overline{B}_1, \psi) > \psi(z^0)$ and the point z^0 is not locally (m, δ, ψ) -regular.

Reversing the roles of $V_m^*(z, K \cap \overline{B}_1, \psi)$ and $V_m^*(z, K \cap \overline{B}_1)$ from (5) we can prove the second part of the theorem: if the point $z^0 \in K$ is not locally (m, δ, ψ) -regular, then it is not locally m -regular. \square

Corollary 3.1. Let $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Lambda(\psi, K)$ and the function $\psi(z)$ is to be continuous on K . Then a fixed point $z^0 \in K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is locally (m, δ_1, ψ) -regular if and only if it is locally (m, δ_2, ψ) -regular.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\psi(z)$ is continuous on K . If K is globally (m, δ, ψ) -regular i.e. if K is globally (m, δ, ψ) -regular at arbitrary point $z^0 \in K$, then $V_m^*(z, K, \psi, \delta) = V_m(z, K, \psi, \delta)$ and $V_m^*(z, K, \psi, \delta)$ continuous in \mathbb{C}^n .

Proof. Let $\psi(z)$ be a function defined and continuous on K . It is well known that $\psi(z)$ can be extended continuously throughout K , i.e., there is a function $\Psi(z) \in C(\mathbb{C}^n)$ such that $\Psi(z)|_K = \psi(z)$ (see Whitney H. [12]). We use the approximation $u_j \downarrow V_m^*(z, K, \psi, \delta)$, where $u_j \in \mathcal{L}_m^\delta \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Since $V_m^*(z, K, \psi, \delta) \equiv \Psi(z)$, $z \in K$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an open set $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n, V_m^*(z, K, \psi, \delta) < \Psi(z) + \varepsilon\}$ contained K . Therefore, by the Hartogs lemma, there exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u_j(z) < \Psi(z) + 2\varepsilon = \psi(z) + 2\varepsilon$, $\forall z \in K$, $j > j_0$. From here, $u_j - 2\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}_m^\delta(\psi, K)$ and

$$u_j - 2\varepsilon \leq V_m(z, K, \psi, \delta) \leq V_m^*(z, K, \psi, \delta) \leq u_j, \quad j > j_0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

This means that the sequence u_j converges to $V_m^*(z, K, \psi, \delta)$ uniformly and $V_m^*(z, K, \psi, \delta) = V_m(z, K, \psi, \delta) \in C(\mathbb{C}^n)$. \square

Proposition 3.3. *If $\delta_j \in \Lambda_{reg}$, $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta_j \uparrow \delta$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, then $\delta \in \Lambda_{reg}$.*

Proof. In fact, since $\psi(z) = V_m^*(z, K, \psi, \delta_j)$, $z \in K$, then

$$\psi(z) = V_m^*(z, K, \psi, \delta_j) = \delta_j \cdot V_m^*\left(z, K, \frac{\psi}{\delta_j}\right) \geq \delta_j \cdot V_m^*\left(z, K, \frac{\psi}{\delta}\right).$$

Therefore, $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\psi(z) \geq \delta_j \cdot V_m^*\left(z, K, \frac{\psi}{\delta}\right)$, $z \in K$. Tending j to infinity, we get

$$\psi(z) \geq \delta \cdot V_m^*\left(z, K, \frac{\psi}{\delta}\right) = V_m^*(z, K, \psi, \delta), \quad z \in K.$$

This means $\delta \in \Lambda_{reg}$. \square

Corollary 3.2. *If $\Lambda = [\delta_0, \infty)$, then $\Lambda_{reg} = \begin{cases} \text{or } [\delta_0, \delta_1] \\ \text{or } [\delta_0, \infty). \end{cases}$*

Corollary 3.3. *If $\Lambda = (0, \infty)$, then $\Lambda_{reg} = \begin{cases} \text{or } (0, \delta_1] \\ \text{or } (0, \infty). \end{cases}$*

4 A property of (m, δ, ψ) -regularity

Further properties of the weighted (m, δ) -Green function are associated with m -thin sets.

Definition 4.1. *Let $E \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and let E' be its limit point set. Then E is said to be m -thin at z^0 if either $z^0 \notin E'$ or $z^0 \in E'$ but there exists a neighbourhood U of z^0 and a function $u(z) \in sh_m(U)$ such that*

$$\overline{\lim}_{\substack{z \rightarrow z^0 \\ z \in E \setminus \{z^0\}}} u(z) < u(z^0)$$

So, if the set E is not thin at the point z^0 , then for any m -subharmonic function $u(z)$ in the neighborhood of z^0

$$\overline{\lim}_{\substack{z \rightarrow z^0 \\ z \in E \setminus \{z^0\}}} u(z) = \overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow z^0, z \in E} u(z) = u(z^0).$$

Note, that since $sh_m \subset sh$ then m -thin point z^0 is the same time classical thin point with respect to class sh functions. [5, 6, 7, 8].

Proposition 4.1. *If $E \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is m -thin at a limit point z^0 of E , then there exists an m -subharmonic function $u \in \mathcal{L}_m$ such that*

$$\overline{\lim}_{\substack{z \rightarrow z^0 \\ z \in E \setminus \{z^0\}}} u(z) < u(z^0).$$

Proof. Let $v(z) \in sh_m(B(z^0, r))$ and $\overline{\lim}_{\substack{z \rightarrow z^0 \\ z \in E \setminus \{z^0\}}} v(z) < v(z^0)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $v < 0$ in the ball $B(z^0, r)$. Then the function

$$u(z) = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ v(z), -\frac{1}{|z - z^0|^{2(\frac{n}{m}-1)}} \right\}, & z \in B(z^0, r) \\ -\frac{1}{|z - z^0|^{2(\frac{n}{m}-1)}}, & z \notin B(z^0, r). \end{cases}$$

belongs to \mathcal{L}_m and

$$\overline{\lim}_{\substack{z \rightarrow z^0 \\ z \in E \setminus \{z^0\}}} v(z) = \overline{\lim}_{\substack{z \rightarrow z^0 \\ z \in E \setminus \{z^0\}}} u(z) < u(z^0) = v(z^0).$$

□

Theorem 4.1. *If z^0 is an m -thin point of K , then z^0 is locally (m, δ, ψ) -irregular point of K . Here the function $\psi \in L^\infty(K)$ and $\delta \in \Lambda$.*

◁ Let K be m -thin at the point $z^0 \in K$. Then, according to Proposition 4.1, there exists a function $u(z) \in \mathcal{L}_m^\delta$ such that

$$\overline{\lim}_{\substack{z \rightarrow z^0 \\ z \in E \setminus \{z^0\}}} u(z) < u(z^0)$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume $u(z^0) > 0$ and find a ball $B(z^0, r)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} u(z) \leq \inf_{z \in K} \psi(z) - \psi(z^0) \text{ for } z \in K \cap B \setminus \{z^0\}, \\ u(z^0) > 0. \end{cases}$$

Put $w(z) = u(z) + \psi(z^0)$. It is easy to see that $w(z) \in L_m^\delta(\psi, K \cap B \setminus \{z^0\})$, because for $z \in K \cap B \setminus \{z^0\}$

$$w(z) = u(z) + \psi(z^0) \leq \inf_{z \in K} \psi(z) - \psi(z^0) + \psi(z^0) = \inf_{z \in K} \psi(z) \leq \psi(z).$$

Consequently,

$$w(z) \leq V_m^*(z, K \cap B \setminus \{z^0\}, \psi, \delta) = V_m^*(z, K \cap B, \psi, \delta), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

From here

$$w(z^0) \leq V_m^*(z^0, K \cap B, \psi, \delta).$$

In the other hand

$$w(z^0) = u(z^0) + \psi(z^0) > \psi(z^0).$$

Therefore

$$\psi(z^0) < w(z^0) \leq V_m^*(z^0, K \cap B, \psi, \delta).$$

Hence, the point z^0 is a locally (m, δ, ψ) -irregular point of the compact set K . \triangleright

References

- [1] Blocki Z. Weak solutions to the complex Hessian equation. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* Vol. 55, Issue 5, 1735-1756 (2005).
- [2] Sadullaev A., Abdullaev B. Potential theory in the class of m -subharmonic functions. *Proc. Stek. Inst. Math.* Vol. 279, No 1, 155-180 (2012).
- [3] Sadullaev A. Pluripotential theory. Applications. Palmarium Academic Publishing. 307 pp. (2012) (in Russian).
- [4] Sadullaev A. Further developments of the pluripotential theory (survey). *Algebra, complex analysis, and pluripotential theory. Springer Proc. Math. Stat.* Vol. 264, Springer, Cham. 167-182 (2018).
- [5] Sadullaev A. Plurisubharmonic measures and capacities on complex manifolds. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk.* Vol. 36, No. 4, 53-105 (1981).
- [6] Klimek M. Pluripotential theory. Oxford University Press, New York. 274 pp. (1991).
- [7] Brelot M. On topologies and boundaries in potential theory. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 176 pp. (1971).
- [8] Landkof N.S. Foundations of Modern Potential Theory. New York, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 517 pp. (1972).
- [9] Alan M.A. Weighted regularity and two problems of Sadullaev on weighted regularity. *Complex Analysis and its Synergies.* Springer, Vol. 8, No. 5, 1- 7 (2019). DOI:10.1007/s40627-019-0026-4
- [10] Narzillaev N.Kh. Delta-extremal functions in \mathbb{C}^n . *Journal of Siberian Federal University. Mathematics & Physics.* Vol. 14, Issue 3, 389–398 (2021).

- [11] Narzillaev N.Kh. About ψ -regular points. Tashkent, Acta NUUz, No. 2.2. 173-175 (2017).
- [12] Whitney H. Analytic extensions of differentiable functions defined in closed sets. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 pp. 63–89 (1934).
- [13] Abdullaev B.I., Sharipov R.A. m -subharmonic functions in the whole space \mathbb{C}^n . Green's function. Uzbek Mathematical journal. No. 3, 3-8 (2013) (in Russian).